Appln. No. 10/528,940 Attny. Dckt. No. GB 020164 APR 1 6 2007

REMARKS

Introduction

Claims 1-12 remain in the application, of which claims 1, 10 and 12 are presently amended.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claims 1, 10 and 12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over a combination of U.S. Patent Publication No. US 2003/0128658 A1 (Walton), and U.S. Patent Publication No. US 2003/0162519 A1 (Smith).

Claim 1 is directed to a method of operating a packet data transmission system. The claim has been amended to recite the step of the primary station (PS1) in response to information from the secondary station "adapting itself and informing the secondary station (SS1) regarding the type of adaptation made." This step is described in the application as published (see US 2006/0046662 A1) at, for example, paragraph [0049].

Applicants submit that neither Walton nor Smith, either alone, or in combination, describe such a step.

Amended claims 10 and 12, while differing in scope and form from claim 1, recite features similar to those described above with respect to claim 1. Accordingly, applicants submit that claims 10 and 12 are patentable over a combination of *Walton* and *Smith*, at least for the reasons stated above with respect to the patentability of claim 1.

Accordingly, applicants submit that claims 1, 10 and 12 are patentable over Walton and Smith, either taken alone, or in combination.

Claims 2-9 and 11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over a combination of Walton, Smith and U.S. Patent No. 6,917,820 (Gore).

Appln. No. 10/528,940 Attny. Dckt. No. GB 020164

Each of claims 2-9 and 11 ultimately depend from claim 1. Accordingly, applicants submit that each of claims 2-9 and 11 are patentable over *Walton* and *Smith*, at least for depending from allowable claim 1.

Gore is directed to a method of selection and use of optimal numbers of antennas by the use of feedback, but does not cure the deficiencies of Walton and Smith. For example, Gore, either alone, or in combination with Walton and Smith, does not teach or suggest a primary station, in response to information from the secondary station "adapting itself and informing the secondary station (SS1) regarding the type of adaptation made."

Accordingly, applicants submit that claims 2-9 and 11 are patentable over Walton, Smith and Gore, either taken alone, or in combination.

Thus, applicants submit that each of the claims of the present application are patentable over each of the references of record, either taken alone, or in any proposed hypothetical combination. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejections to the claims is respectfully requested.

Appln. No. 10/528,940 Attny. Dckt. No. GB 020164

Conclusion

In view of the above remarks, reconsideration and allowance of the present application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul Im

Registration No. 50,418

Date: 16 April 2007

By: James obrow Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 46,666

Mail all correspondence to:

Paul Im, Registration No. 50,418 US PHILIPS CORPORATION P.O. Box 3001 Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510-8001

Phone: (914) 333-9627 Fax: (914) 332-0615

CERTIFICATE OF	TRANSMISSION UNDER 37	C.F.R. 1.8

Date of Transmission: 16 April 2007

I hereby certify that this correspondence and enumerated documents are being transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date of transmission indicated above.

Name: James

ames Dobrow

Signature: